

The Ann Stories

They *Fear*  
Your  
**POWER**

---

Part Three

The Shadowy Regions  
of Subconscious

---

R. Howell: [theannstories.co.uk](http://theannstories.co.uk)

March 2023

# **They Fear Your Power - Part Three**

## **The Shadowy Regions**

### **Of Subconscious**

**By R. Howell**

**[theannstories.co.uk](http://theannstories.co.uk)**

# The Shadowy Regions Of Subconscious

## White Western Superiority

**T**he shadows of the whites and associated sycophants still strut about the countries we in the West subsumed through our God-given right to extol profit from wherever it could be unearthed, or from wherever it could be stolen. The methods used did not matter, only the rewards as illustrated by the philosophy of The East Indian Trading Company and slavers.

To quell Western voices from protesting attitudes were assumed. Superior attitudes. One of white supremacy versus that of the heathen savage. It appeared in pamphlets, the press, in government circles and of course in businesses. It was simply everywhere, even in the gin houses and opium dens of the shadier parts of towns and cities in Victorian times. Although the philosophy extends way back into the shadows of history. The Romans versus the Druids...

Now here's the nub, I suspect such attitudes are the cause of so many of our present ills and discontents. Interestingly an email to the supporters of The Guardian on the 28th March 2023 suggested exactly that about the newspaper's own history. I have not included the text here as I await permission...

Our benign acceptance still of those atrocities and outrageous attitudes is I suspect one of the causes of racial and cultural prejudices today. It is not conscious in many, it lurks like a decomposing body left to lay in our subconscious.

I defy most of us not to think undeveloped when we consider Africa, parts of India, Pakistan, Afghanistan, Vietnam, The Amazon forests, and The Philippines and their mountain regions and forests. Even parts of Japan and China where Indigenous peoples still live - Tibet, and oh how the list could go on.

# Why The West Thinks The Way It Does

## A Fumous Corpse

**T**he rotting body in our subconscious declares that our culture is superior. Some might even fume, “They are savages.” Others, “What do they know about anything? They are not as educated as us. And look at how they dress and dance. As for their horrid music...”

Other are visited by shades of the film Zulu, “Lord help us they’re upon us!”

We in the West emanate prejudices. We may not mean to, but...

On top of that, there is our personal natural prejudices. Those which all humans have, some might even say, we endure. They arise from our fight and flight instincts and are referred to as our tribal instinct.

Instinct creates responses which we can and do refuse to question. We merely react - but that is better explained in another essay.

All I’ll say for now is, we chose who we mix with closely and it is based on our instinctive preferences. Like attracts like, but not always consciously. In children, a ‘tutu-wearing princess’ attracts other ‘tutu-wearing princesses’, or those who aspire to be one - regardless of gender. Parents who encourage that behaviour in their child gravitate to other parents with similar ideas. Then they aspire to outdo, to be the leader. We are tribal, like it or not.

I once worked for a company where just two directors took on new employees. You could easily tell which staff member was employed by a

particular director. But that is enough said because it was sexist.

## Prejudices Wrongs Or Rights

**R**ather than acting as peripheral advisers prejudices become deeply wrong when they set out to deliberately exclude and be harmful - but they are also natural within us and are exercised by all us humans, across all walks. Classism is prejudice. Even refusing to shop in a discount store because 'those other types do' is prejudice. We are driven by them.

The job for us all is to recognise when our prejudices are arising not from tribal instinct, but from the fumes of that rotting carcass smothering our common sense and decency. And I would suggest also smothering what should be our gratitude for those we exploit who have indirectly, and probably against their wishes, supplied us in the West with our affluent societies. If a school or university was built upon the proceeds of slavery, then all those children passing through those doors owe their education to the enslaved. They owe a big thank you too and not prejudice. Yet... The fields of Eton and such grow prejudices.

No one person or organisation can help us in this as change must come from our own awareness of what lies within us and what formed those thoughts and our present-day society.

But before we even start that self-investigation rolling, we must accept that the origins of our rotting prejudices emanate from those savage and awful days of Empire and those that led to it... While also recognising that some of our fellows would wish to return to such times. I venture many will be found lurking in The Collective.

At present, our prejudices allow the west to turn its eyes away from atrocities happening in other parts of the world, including environmental issues.

## Reminders Of Slavery

**T**his idea might be a bit abstract but, our children in the UK are not taught true British History in all of its ingloriousness. They do not learn about the abusive issues of Empire because I guess it is uncomfortable for The Collective. Yet, they can encourage and even expect those reminders of slavery to stay in public view. Food for thought.

### Why Care About The World?

Why should we in the West save those individuals in other parts of the world, those we consider to be racially inferior to ourselves - ask again where that attitude might originate from - or care about the lifestyles of those we look down upon - 'Uncivilised they are', some say.

That is not just a British attitude either. I have heard such said in South America referring to the Indigenous Rainforest peoples - Bolsonaro justifying the rape of the forest and its people and the killing of them - but we in the UK do seem to hold onto our prejudices for the longest.

I guess that encourages us not to care about our overseas plundering and can be found expressed in comments such as. 'Their problems aren't our doing. It's for their countries to sort out, not ours'.

It's interesting how quickly such voices change when they are unable to buy the food or the stuff they want due to environmental and political issues that our forebears started in motion - which we today continue to feed...

And again. More attitudes which environmentalists have to overcome.

## **Why Accept Slavery & Cruelty?**

Consider, whenever we hear about an indigenous child being whipped, raped and starved or enslaved on our behalf it does not raise a single hackle in most of us in the West. Is it possibly because they are not white Westerners and we are disconnected because they are too far away. If such happened in Luton or Paris or Washington or around the corner to a non-coloured person I'm sure there would be uproar.

Let me support that thought.

The UN peacekeeping troops - mainly from Europe - operating in those areas take advantage of the women, and or rape them, while the UN's hierarchy turns its backs on it. They also, when questioned, hide the details of the troopers involved. See this link [Sexual exploitation by UN peacekeepers in DRC](#)

## **Where Was The Outcry?**

There was none.

Why? Because Indigenous peoples are not seen as worthy of our respect today, just as they were not in the 19th century and before, when they were slaughtered or taken into slavery. Most nations in the western world were responsible and that includes China who are currently brutally exploiting the peoples of Africa.

## **The Huns Eat babies...**

**L**et's plant a seed and let's see if it takes. To encourage our troops in the 1st and 2nd World Wars they were fed lies, one being, the Huns eat babies...

Arguments aside regarding the rights or wrongs of war, such comments were whispered by The Collective to encourage millions to go forward and embrace their deaths to save a system that up til then had not cared for them. So, I wonder, what other Collective whispers and attitudes have we the populace endured or quietly subsumed over the centuries concerning 'our right' to exploit and belittle Indigenous peoples?

Such peoples do not appear in our history. But yet, they played a vital part in the making of it! That is prejudice at its most highest.

## **The Gurkhas**

And crikey yep..., that dismissive attitude of The Collective is evident in the recent discussions in the UK regarding the Gurkha Soldiers.

They have and do devote their lives to the British Establishment, but that very establishment tried hard to deny them the right to be considered British - despite dedicating their lives to the service of this country.

'Die for us by all means... but you ain't British, are ya!'

What bloody arrogance! And that has been ingrained into so many of us British. The number of online comments I've read arguing that the Gurkhas

should not receive recognition was astronomical when considering the service they have voluntarily given us.

This is what I took away from my readings.

“ Take what you want from the inferior and damn them if they want anything back from ya! ”

Sorry, but that attitude is a fact and underlines much of our thinking in the West.

Don't governments say subtly about immigrants, "They will fill those jobs that 'we' (unspoken: whites) won't." Think about the exploitive colonial attitude behind that.

Furthermore, consider this little idea. The Collectives have little concern for the social upheaval mass immigration would cause the people - after all if the people had taken the jobs as we demanded, then the immigrants would not be needed. It's a thought!

I cannot recall a single outcry when it's claimed the immigrants will fill certain jobs because inherently we accept the proposal. It is how we are encouraged to think - they are here to sponge off us, and or they have no worth other than to be exploited.

As a nation, we did that to many who arrived in the 40s, 50s, 60s, 70s, and 80s, as we still do so today. In the main, our attitudes are still colonial. Or classist. A prejudice which we the populace sporadically try to fight against - yet we do not care to notice when we use similar attitudes towards immigrants.

## **An Apology**

**I** will be the first to admit in the past I have expressed questions about how many people this country can realistically support and I may well again. But that is not prejudice. It is a concern about density and has nothing to do with where the people come from. Unless such points attack the individuals because of their ethnic origins. Fortunately, mine do not do so, and never will they. Especially when our nation has taken so much from theirs.

### **Prejudices Stop Us Helping**

Prejudice, racialism if you prefer, is what prevents us from clambering as we ought to help those countries severely affected by climate change - an event which in the main is caused or exasperated by our lifestyles in the West. Which in time will fuel future immigration. It has to - those peoples affected will flee their uninhabitable regions. To those who would deny them assistance I would ask, have you been that hardened against other races that you would rather see them drown than offer a hand to help? When we buy trainers or products produced in those countries we contribute to their demise. When we conspire to maximise production using their raw assets and slave-like labour, we kill them or their country.

### **Not Encouraged To Understand**

Our problem is, we do not accept that we are to blame for the immigrants displacements because we are not taught at a young age to understand how such things work. And neither do we care to help them - again encouraged by lack of education and our subconscious prejudices.

Once again I am mortified by the sheer voracity and number of comments I read in newspapers left by people who are rabidly against providing funds to help with overseas climate issues. Which are also our climate issues.

If they could only understand and accept that Western businesses in search of markets and The Collective's needs for dominions in the past have caused most of the problems today. If they did so, their attacks might be better aimed. And more useful. But they are not taught such in schools... Are they...

## Vanessa Nakate

**T**o cap this section off, here is a link to a recent story about a young Ugandan climate activist, Vanessa Nakate who was cut out of a photo where she was standing with four white activists, one being Greta Thunberg. ['It was like I wasn't there'- climate activist Vanessa Nakate](#). It was used around the western world! That is not an isolated case of prejudice either, as we all know. It is a good indicator though of the press and how businesses treat indigenous people. The press is a business with investors after all and they decided to cut her out.

It cannot help but be assumed that someone considered her presence offensive to readers, or investors, or simply that her voice did not matter. The voice by the way of an individual living with and suffering from the effects of climate change. The climate change The Psychopathy Of Business is fuelling. Think about that...

What begs to be said, is that her exclusion had nothing whatsoever to do with cropping the image to fit, as the linked article clearly explains. It is yet another sad example of the attitudes the environmentalists contend with - those shadowy realms of our subconscious which prevent us from helping.

## Climate & The Press

**I**n many household publications which I read I do get a feeling of scepticism or from some, an outright denial of climate issues.

Particularly vitriolic on climate issues is the online publication, townhall.com (American). Their voice is rabidly against climate issues and the need for change. The arguments I've read of theirs are biased and spurious at the best. Personally I find their denials both ignorant and frightening.

Then there is the UK's, Tim McNulty of the Express.co.uk. His approach was mockery, or certainly so in a recent article about Greta Thunberg.

Ben Fordham of the dailymail.co.uk. Again mockery of Greta and bias.

I call such out for their blindness and blatant stupidity.

To their joint ridiculing of Greta Thunberg I would defend her by suggesting that at least she is trying to do something useful. And occasionally the information she relies on will be wrong, so what. Be mature gentleman, and take time to understand the messages. But you won't, because you are ignorant. When you attack a young lady in the way you do, understand it is tantamount to mental rape. Take care and think first and I suspect in your journalistic lives you have been very wrong at times too.

As for the list of deniers wandering through the corridors of press, I'm sure there are many.

Those publications and such others could do so much good but would rather waste time ridiculing the messengers who are trying to do the right thing. I

guess they believe that if they act that way for long enough it will all go away, and leave them free to get on with business as usual. I would conclude by suggesting that is Trumpism at its worst.

It would not be surprising to learn that such people have strong colonial leanings...

### **Deniers Until They Drown**

Such is The Psychopathy of Business the deniers will never accept the climate arguments, not even while they shelter from the storms that beset the planet. Or run from raging fires. Or even when their skins burn in the unseasonal heat. Or they can't eat fish because rising water temperatures are killing them off. And will most certainly not respond kindly when nations cry out for help - unless there's a buck to be made. Or we the people hurt them in the wallet and put their attitudes right.

Continued in Part Four Perceptions Of Affluence

~ ~ ↓ ~ ~

Comments as usual to [author@theannstories.co.uk](mailto:author@theannstories.co.uk)